

Annexure-A

Reply on Pre-Bid Queries- MePDCL/CE(COMM)/TECH-69(Pt-V)/2024-25/110 dated: 24th July 2025

SI No	Clause No	Existing Clause	Bidders Query / Suggestion	MePDCL's Reply
1	Clause 5.3	Clause 5.3 - Tender Document Fee and Bid Processing Fee	<p>As you are aware, this tender was previously cancelled, and we had already prepared Demand Drafts dated 25.06.2025 for the Tender Document Fee and Bid Processing Fee. Since the fee amounts remain unchanged in the reissued tender, we request confirmation on whether the same Demand Drafts can be used for this current submission. Additionally, the RFP mentions that the Tender Document Fee is payable in favour of "Principal Accounts, MeECL", while the Bid Processing Fee is payable in favour of "Principal Accounts, MePDCL". This appears inconsistent.</p> <p>Kindly confirm if we may use the previously issued Demand Drafts dated 25.6.2025 for the current tender submission. Also, please clarify the correct payee names for both Tender Document Fee and Bid Processing Fee to ensure proper compliance</p>	It is requested to refer to amendment(s) related to this RFP and the Bidder has to adhere to RFP condition.
2	Clause 5.3	Clause 5.3 - Earnest Money Deposit (EMD)	<p>The tender mentions that EMD can be submitted via Bank Guarantee or Demand Draft, but no specific format for the Bank Guarantee is provided in the RFP document. Further, while the EMD is stated to be in favour of "Principal Accounts, MeECL", the complete bank details for SWIFT transfer (such as account number, IFSC code, SWIFT code, bank name, and branch) are not provided, which are mandatory for processing SWIFT remittances.</p> <p>Kindly provide the prescribed Bank Guarantee format for EMD submission. Also, please confirm the exact name in whose favour the</p>	It is requested to refer to amendment(s) related to this RFP.

Annexure-A

Reply on Pre-Bid Queries- MePDCL/CE(COMM)/TECH-69(Pt-V)/2024-25/110 dated: 24th July 2025

SI No	Clause No	Existing Clause	Bidders Query / Suggestion	MePDCL's Reply
			EMD is to be issued and share complete bank account details required for sending SWIFT.	
3	5.3.5	<i>Clause 1.2.2 (Affiliate), Clause 4.1.10 & 4.1.11</i>	<p>Clause 1.2.2 defines "Affiliate" based on control, including more than 50% ownership or management control in either direction or under common control. However, Clauses 4.1.10 and 4.1.10 impose strict directional shareholding conditions-i.e., for technical credentials, the Bidder must hold >50% in the Affiliate; and for financial credentials, the Affiliate must hold >50% in the Bidder. This creates inconsistency with the broader and more flexible definition provided under Clause 1.2.2.</p> <p>We request you to kindly revise Clauses 4.1.10 and 4.1.11 to align with the Affiliate definition in Clause 1.2.2, by allowing use of technical and/or financial credentials based on control or ownership >50%, in any direction or under common control, as originally defined to meet by Parent/Affiliate.</p>	The Bidder has to adhere to RFP condition.
4	4.1.3	<i>Qualification criteria:</i>	<p>Clause 4.1.3 - Eligibility Requirements: The RFP requires the bidder to possess a valid Electrical Contractor License. In case the bidder is relying on the technical credentials of its Parent/Affiliate, it may not hold the license directly at the time of bidding.</p> <p>Request: We request that the Electrical Contractor License may be allowed to be submitted either</p>	The Bidder has to adhere to RFP condition.

Annexure-A

Reply on Pre-Bid Queries- MePDCL/CE(COMM)/TECH-69(Pt-V)/2024-25/110 dated: 24th July 2025

SI No	Clause No	Existing Clause	Bidders Query / Suggestion	MePDCL's Reply
			by the bidder or its Parent/Affiliate, as applicable. This will ensure compliance without restricting genuine and qualified bidders operating through affiliates.	
5	4.1.6	<p><i>As per Clause 4.1.6 on Page 16 of the RFP, which state that "The Bidder shall have to provide information and documents establishing its relationship with such Affiliate, if applicable, including details about the equity shareholding between them as per Exhibit-5".</i></p> <p><i>Request:</i></p> <p><i>We are unable to find out Exhibit-S in the RFP document. Request you to kindly share the Exhibit-5.</i></p>	We are unable to find out Exhibit-5 in the RFP document. Request you to kindly share the Exhibit-5.	Exhibit-5 is herewith enclosed in Annexure-I
6			Annexures (as per index and document content): The RFP document lists Annexure 7 in the index, but the content of Annexure 7 is not found anywhere in the document. Additionally, two different annexures are labeled as "Annexure 6" - one for "Specifications of Consumer Service Center" and another for "Performance Guarantee".	It is requested to refer to amendment(s) related to this RFP.
7	Clause 5		As per Clause 5 (instructions to Bidders) on Page 19 of the tender document, it is mentioned that both the Qualification Proposal and the Financial Proposal-each in one original and one copy-are to be submitted in sealed envelopes or boxes, organized as per the specified Exhibits.	<p>The clause is revised as under:</p> <p>Clause 5: Instruction to Bidders</p> <p>The Bidders shall submit the Qualification and Financial Proposals in separate sealed envelopes.</p> <p>Additionally, soft copies of the same must be sent via email to cecommercial.mepdcl@meghalaya.go</p>

Annexure-A

Reply on Pre-Bid Queries- MePDCL/CE(COMM)/TECH-69(Pt-V)/2024-25/110 dated: 24th July 2025

SI No	Clause No	Existing Clause	Bidders Query / Suggestion	MePDCL's Reply
			Based on this, it appears that the tender submission is required in offline (hardcopy) mode. Request: We kindly request you to confirm whether our understanding is correct, or if there is an additional requirement to submit the same proposals via the online portal as well.	v.in in password-protected file/PDF format. The Bidder shall disclose the password at the time of proposal opening, but only upon receiving a request from the Tender Committee.
8	5.6.3.3	<i>5.6.3.3 Installation of Smart consumer meters and Smart DT meters and carry out meter reading, monitoring all feeders and distribution transformers</i>	As per tender Clause 5.6.3.3 Installation of Smart consumer meters and Smart DT meters and carry out meter reading, monitoring all feeders and distribution transformers. The clause mentions the requirement of "smart meters"; however, there is no supporting reference, specification, or scope elsewhere in the RFP indicating the use of smart meters. It appears that this may be a typographical error and that the intent is to refer to normal meters. Request: We request you to kindly clarify whether the meters mentioned in this clause are indeed normal meters and not smart meters. If it is a typographical error, we request that the clause be amended accordingly to avoid confusion.	The clause is revised as under: 5.6.3.3 Installation of consumer meters and DT meters and carry out meter reading, monitoring of all feeders and distribution transformers